



August 22, 2023

IN THIS ISSUE:

| | |
|--|----|
| New Tariff Filings | 1 |
| Continuing Action on Tariff Filings (IS Dockets) | 2 |
| Refiled, Corrected, or Withdrawn Tariffs (IS Dockets)..... | 3 |
| Complaint Proceedings and Other Oil Pipeline Matters... | 4 |
| New Tariff Filings (Table).... | 7 |
| Updated Action on Tariff Filings (Table)..... | 8 |
| About the Oil Pipeline Tariff Monitor and Subscriber Links | 12 |

TOP ISSUES: August 5, 2023, through August 18, 2023

Tariff Trends

- **Rate changes:** N/A
- **New rates or services:** N/A

Protests and Complaint Proceedings

- Husky US Marketing and Phillips 66 Company filed a second motion to lodge to the initial decision regarding the protests and complaints against TransCanada Keystone's increase in committed rates.

Other Oil Pipeline Proceedings

- SFPP responded to the answer of HF Sinclair Refining & Marketing and Valero Marketing and Supply's response to SFPP's answer to the complaint against SFPP's East Line rates.
- Commission Trial Staff responds to Targa's request that the Presiding ALJ clarify the issues set for hearing regarding Enerplus' intervention regarding the Commission's investigation of Targa's waiver of tariff filing and reporting requirements.

Commission Meeting Activity

- The next regular Commission meeting is Thursday, September 21, 2023.

New Tariff Publication Highlights (IS Dockets)

MAGELLAN PIPELINE COMPANY, L.P. adds new products. The rules and regulations were revised to add new products, ARM – Grade and VRM – Grade, with references to the product grade documents and eliminates the product VMS – Grade. **(IS23-658, filed August 16, 2023)**

EXPLORER PIPELINE COMPANY updates table of bid rates. The table of bid rates was updated for September, 2023. **(IS23-650-001, filed August 15, 2023)**

KINDER MORGAN UTOPIA LLC adjusts joint volume incentive tariff. The International Joint Volume Incentive Rate Tariff was adjusted to reduce the minimum number of barrels that must be shipped each day for a shipper to be eligible for the Joint Volume Incentive Rate to meet market conditions. **(IS23-657, filed August 11, 2023)**

SUNOCO PIPELINE L.P. increases capacity. The maximum daily capacity of the service from Darlington, Bulger South, and Bulger North, PA to Houston, PA was increased from 7,500 to 10,000 barrels per day of capacity and may be achieved by Carrier through displacement of barrels or other virtual movements. (IS23-656, filed August 9, 2023)

WILDROSE PIPELINE COMPANY LLC adopts tariffs. Wildrose Pipeline Company LLC, a wholly owned subsidiary of Pivotal Energy Partners USA, Inc., adopted the tariffs of Hawthorn Oil Transportation (North Dakota), Inc. to reflect the sale of the pipeline facilities of Hawthorn to Wildrose, effective August 4, 2023. (IS23-655, filed August 8, 2023)

Continuing Action on Tariff Publications Filed Previously (IS Dockets)

HUSKY US MARKETING LLC and HUSKY US MARKETING LLC and PHILLIPS 66 COMPANY file a second motion to lodge to the initial decision regarding the protests and complaints of HUSKY US MARKETING LLC and PHILLIPS 66 COMPANY'S against TRANSCANADA KEYSTONE'S increase in committed rates. On November 29, 2019, TransCanada Keystone filed a tariff under IS20-108-000 increasing its committed rates based upon the estimated variable costs. On December 16, 2019, Coffeyville Resources and Husky US Marketing jointly protested the rate increase. The Commission suspended the tariff to be effective on January 1, 2020. On October 1, 2020, the Joint Customers (Husky US Marketing LLC and Phillips 66 Company) filed a complaint under OR21-1-000 alleging that TCPLKEYS had failed to support its recent rate increases based upon the TSAs. On November 18, 2020, Coffeyville challenged the lawfulness of Keystone's committed rates as determined by the terms contained in Transportation Service Agreements between Keystone and the Complainant under Docket No. OR21-2-000. The Complainant alleged that Keystone's existing committed rates, and the methodology used to calculate them, are inconsistent with the TSAs and unjust and unreasonable since 2017. Coffeyville requested that the Complaint be consolidated with the protests filed in IS20-108-000 and the Complaint that the Joint Customers filed against Keystone on October

9, 2020 in Docket No. OR21-1-000 in light of the commonality of the issues. On December 30, 2020, the Commission accepted and suspended the tariff to be effective January 1, 2021, subject to refund, set the complaints in OR21-1-00 and OR21-2-000, and the 2021 tariff, consolidate the dockets with the ongoing proceeding in IS20-108-000, and dismissed Keystone's request for rehearing in Docket No. IS20-108-003. On August 10, 2022 a settlement agreement was approved by the Commission between TransCanada Keystone and Coffeyville resolving Coffeyville's complaint. On January 10, 2023, the Joint Customers filed a Motion to Lodge the CER's Reasons for Decision in the Matter of TransCanada Keystone Pipeline GP Ltd. The Joint Customers submitted that the decision is relevant to the outcome of the proceeding as the TSAs issues are sister to those at issue under the proceeding before the Commission and should be reviewed prior to the issuance of an initial decision by the Commission. On January 23, 2023, TransCanada Keystone answered opposing Husky's motion to lodge contending that the remaining protestors "seek to introduce into the record of this proceeding a foreign regulator's decision that considered different facts, already deemed irrelevant to this proceeding, and that was decided under a different legal regime than is applicable here." On February 16, 2023, the Commission issued its initial decision, determining the justness and reasonableness of TransCanada Keystone's 2021 Estimated Variable rates and 2018, 2019, and 2020 Final Variable Rate and also under the provisions of the applicable TSAs. The Initial Decision found that the Variable Rate portion of TransCanada Keystone's committed rates are just and reasonable, adequately supported, and non-discriminatory, both under the terms of the ICA and the TSAs. The Initial Decision also found that the Joint Customers had failed to meet the burden of proof in its challenge of the 2018 - 2020 Final Variable Rate as unjust, unreasonable, and discriminatory. The DRA commodity costs were properly included in the Variable Rate as OM&A costs. However, the Commission did find that the DRA related capital costs used to increase the hydraulic maximum flow rate in order to accommodate more shippers are not to be included in the Variable Rate and are not properly categorized as OM&A costs. The Commission examined the four steps of the Keystone U.S.-Marketlink allocation methodology finding that TransCanada Keystone has met the burden of proof in regards to Steps One, Two, and Four as just and reasonable as to the allocation of costs and expenses for the 2021 Estimated Variable Rates. The Initial Decision also

found that the Joint Customers had failed to meet the burden of proof in its challenge of the 2018 – 2020 Final Variable Costs. The Initial Decision found that, TransCanada Keystone had failed to meet the burden of proof regarding Step Three of the allocation methodology regarding the allocation of costs between Keystone U.S.-Marketlink for the 2021 Estimated Variable Rates. Trial Staff has also met the burden of proof in determining the justness and reasonableness of the allocation of expenses between Keystone U.S. and Marketlink for the 2018 - 2020 Final Variable Costs. Trial Staff also proved the justness and reasonableness of its proposed replacement to Step Three of the allocation methodology. “Accordingly, Joint Customers are entitled to refunds in excess of the rates found just, reasonable, and non-discriminatory in this proceeding. The period for refunds extends from October 9, 2018, which is two years prior to the filing of Joint Customers’ complaints, through the year 2021.” In addition, the Initial Decision granted the Joint Customers’ January 10, 2023 Motion to Lodge. On March 7, 2023, all Participants filed a joint motion asking the Presiding ALJ to make corrections to the initial decision in which the words “Fixed” and “Variable” were transposed in eight passages. The Participants asked that the corrections be made by March 17, 2023 as the briefs are due by the Participants by March 20, 2023. On March 8, 2023, an errata notice was issued. On March 20, 2023, TransCanada Keystone filed a brief on exceptions to the initial decision submitting that the initial decision is correct except for the following errors: (1) the Joint Customers’ claims Under the ICA are not time barred, (2) finding the Joint Customers’ failure to object to the inclusion of certain categories of costs and expenses does not suggest they are includable in the variable rate, (3) finding that Step Three of the Keystone U.S.-Marketlink Allocation Methodology is unjust and unreasonable, along with other “Suggestion” Errors. The Joint Complainants filed a brief on exceptions to the Initial Decision noting fourteen exceptions. The Joint Complainants contended that “[t]here are a number of important policy considerations that warrant full Commission review of the ID.” All parties filed briefs opposing exceptions on April 10, 2023. On July 19, 2023, the Joint Participants filed a motion to lodge the PHMSA ACAO and Milepost 14 Incident RCFA as relevant, and not duplicative, to this proceeding. The Joint Participants submitted that the December 7, 2022 release occurring three miles west of Washington, KS failed from a girth weld and continues to demonstrate

“further evidence of a sustained pattern of behavior whereby Keystone has experienced four serious pipeline ruptures in approximately the last six years, all of which were demonstrably attributable to Keystone’s errors during the construction of its pipeline, including Keystone’s and its contractors’ failure to follow industry standards and the conditions of its PHMSA Special Permit during construction.” The Joint Participants contended that the information is relevant as the proceedings involve Keystone’s attempt to recover the costs of its incidents, and provides insight into Keystone’s flawed integrity management practices and construction failures. On August 3, 2023, TransCanada Keystone responded asking the Commission to deny the motion to lodge contending that the Joint Customers are seeking to open the record to add documents that are totally irrelevant to the time period in the consolidated proceeding and also irrelevant to any issue that is included in the Initial Decision or set for rehearing. TransCanada Keystone alleged that the Joint Customers are attempting to bolster a theory that has already been rejected in the Initial Decision in order to raise the theory again in future matters held in abeyance. TransCanada Keystone argued that the motion does not meet the standard for reopening the record with new evidence and that the new documents are irrelevant to the issues addressed in this proceeding. TransCanada Keystone asked the Commission to deny the motion to lodge. Now, Husky US Marketing LLC and Phillips 66 Company filed a motion to lodge a letter order issued by the Canadian Energy Regulator that the Joint Complainants contend relates to the CER RFD admitted to the record on January 10, 2023, and is relevant to the decision in this proceeding. The Joint Complainants submitted that the parties to Keystone Canada’s TSAs understood that the expansion to 590 kpbpd capacity was to be achieved in return for the payment of the fixed rate. The Joint Complainants also submitted that the CER letter order reaffirming the findings in the CER RFD that the Keystone and Keystone Canada use DRA to expand the capacity to 590 kpbpd and that the cost of the DRA is not recoverable in the variable rate. The Joint Complainants asked the Commission to grant this motion to lodge. **(IS20-108-001, IS21-133-000, OR21-1-000, Motion to Lodge of Husky US Marketing LLC and Phillips 66 Company Canadian Energy Regulator Letter Order filed August 10, 2023)**

Refiled, Corrected, or Withdrawn Tariffs (IS Dockets)

SEMINOLE PIPELINE COMPANY LLC refiles indexed rate adjustments. Indexed rate adjustments were refiled to correct the date in the metadata. No tariff was needed to be filed. (IS23-558-001, *filed August 17, 2023*)

Complaint Proceedings and Other Oil Pipeline Matters

SFPP responds to HF SINCLAIR REFINING & MARKETING LLC AND VALERO MARKETING AND SUPPLY COMPANY's response to the answer of SFPP, L.P. regarding the complaint against SFPP, L.P.'s East Line rates and motion to hold in abeyance. In this proceeding, HF Sinclair and VMSC, the Complainants, *filed* a complaint on June 30, 2023, against SFPP regarding the justness and reasonableness of its East Line rates for the period July 1, 2021 through February 28, 2022. The joint complaint involves the rates for the period in which the Commission issued, on January 20, 2022, the rehearing order finding that "pipelines must revise the ceiling levels that became effective July 1, 2021, to reflect an index level of PPI-FG-0.21% instead of the index level adopted in the December 2020 Order." The order required that ceiling levels were to be recomputed for the July 1, 2021 through June 30, 2022 with the rate changes effective March 1, 2022. The Complainants seek reparations for the period July 1, 2021 through February 28, 2022 during the period in which the rates exceeded the ceiling rates as calculated pursuant to the rehearing order. The Complainants asked the Commission to hold this complaint in abeyance pending the resolution of the Shipper Petitioners' appeal of the May 2022 Rehearing Order contending that the issues are identical, which index ceiling levels are appropriate, the index ceiling levels per the December, 2020 Order or the January, 2022 Rehearing Order. On July 20, 2023, SFPP *answered* the Complainants asking the Commission to deny the complaint as being in violation of the Commission approved settlement where a clear moratorium was established in which the Complainants agreed not to challenge SFPP's East Line Rates, including indexed rate adjustments for a period that extends through February 2, 2025. SFPP also contended that the complaint mimics another complaint by the shippers, to which the Complainants are a party, regarding the rate index adjustment. On August 3, 2023, the Complainants *responded* to SFPP's answer arguing that SFPP is attempting to have it both ways by arguing that

the complaint is barred by the settlement agreement and its claim that the complaint is duplicative of the appeal of SFPP's compliance with the Indexing regulations. The Complainants contended that the complaint is not barred by settlement as the settlement permits SFPP to file rates that are in compliance with the Commission's indexing policy which is now being challenged. The Complainants asserted that "[i]f the Commission agrees and holds that the statute of limitations is tolled during the pendency of the petition for review, then Complainants would have no objection to dismissing this Complaint without prejudice. But if the Commission does not so hold, then it is entirely appropriate for this protective complaint to have been filed and to remain in abeyance pending the resolution of the petition for review." Now, SFPP responded to the Complainants answer contending that although the Complainants alleged "misstatements and mischaracterizations", none were identified. SFPP argued that the answer was nothing more than an attempt to disguise a violation of the East Line Settlement Agreement. SFPP submitted that "[t]he East Line Settlement Agreement unambiguously states that Complainants cannot challenge East Line indexed rates that are 'in compliance with the Commission's then-applicable indexing policy.'" SFPP contended that the true "gripe" is the fact that the Commission did not require the carriers to refund shippers for the index adjustments for the period July 1 - February 28, 2023 which does not give the Complainants the right to violate the agreement by challenging SFPP's rates. SFPP again asked the Commission to dismiss the complaint. (OR23-4-000, *Motion for Leave to Respond and Limited Response of SFPP, L.P. to Motion for Leave to Answer and Answer of HF Sinclair Refining & Marketing LLC and Valero Marketing and Supply Company filed August 7, 2023*) **COMMISSION TRIAL STAFF responds to TARGA BADLANDS LLC, TARGA ASSETS LLC, AND TARGA FORT BERTHOLD LLC request that the Presiding ALJ clarify the issues set for hearing regarding ENERPLUS' intervention regarding the COMMISSION's investigation of TARGA's waiver of tariff filing and reporting requirements.** On September 28, 2020, Enerplus Resources *filed* a complaint alleging that Targa is utilizing buy/sell agreements which provide the same gathering services, from the same origins to the same destinations, to producers at significantly different rates. Enerplus asked the Commission to find that Targa is charging discriminatory rates to Enerplus and favoring other producers and to require Targa to pay Enerplus reparations based upon the difference between the rates charged Enerplus and the lower rates charged to similarly

situated producers. On October 19, 2020, the Targa companies jointly answered the complaint contending that Enerplus' unsupported claims of discrimination regarding crude oil purchase and sale transactions are outside of the Commission's jurisdiction. On November 3, 2020, Enerplus answered Targa arguing that the issue is whether the different gathering fees charged by Targa to different producers are unduly discriminatory under the ICA. On November 12, 2020, Targa answered arguing that the Crude Oil Purchase and Sale Agreement is non-jurisdictional. On March 26, 2021, the Commission issued an order dismissing Enerplus' complaint as incoherent and that the foundational issue of the complaint was inconsistent. On April 20, 2021, Enerplus asked for rehearing of the Commission's order submitting that the order requires Enerplus to request a protective order in order to explain why it has not requested service or challenged the waiver in this complaint or previously. On May 5, 2021, Targa responded arguing that Enerplus' request for rehearing presented nothing that would change the Commission's dismissal of the complaint and repeated the same mischaracterizations. On May 20, 2021, the Commission tolled the order stating that "[r]ehearing requests ... will be addressed in a future order." On October 4, 2022, Enerplus asked the Commission for expedited action regarding its request for rehearing. Enerplus also submitted that the uncertainty affects future business decisions such as where to focus its drilling and leasing activity. On October 17, 2022, Targa responded asking the Commission to deny the request submitting that the discrimination claims need not be addressed as the Commission had correctly found that the service was not under its jurisdiction. Targa also argued that "such agreements to purchase and sell crude oil do not implicate or undermine the standards by which the Commission has granted numerous waivers of ICA tariff requirements (including the waiver granted to Targa), or otherwise turn the non-jurisdictional purchase and sale of crude oil into a regulated transportation service." Targa contended that "Enerplus bargained for certain non-jurisdictional obligations on the part of Targa, including wellhead connection and purchase obligations for which Targa bears the costs and which were reflected in the crude oil sales pricing to which Enerplus agreed." Targa also submitted that the purchase and sale agreements do not include transportation service and none has been requested by Enerplus. On November 1, 2022, Enerplus responded arguing that it had been very careful not to

repeat any of its previous arguments and had only presented that Targa's discriminatory prices charged to other producers for gathering services "are causing Enerplus competitive harm." Enerplus submitted that Targa had not answered to that in its response but instead brought forth new information. On December 16, 2022, the Commission denied Enerplus' request for rehearing and reaffirmed that Enerplus had failed to present a coherent claim. In its finding, the Commission stated that Enerplus' complaint "continues to argue that its complaint is not 'adverse' to the OR11-12 Waiver," but the "entire complaint is premised on the argument that ICA jurisdiction applies to the service provided by the Purchase and Sale Agreement." The Commission stated that Targa's waiver would need to be revoked and Targa required to file a tariff in order for the anti-discrimination clauses of the ICA to apply to the agreements. Therefore, Enerplus' claims continue to be inconsistent and rehearing is denied. The Commission also stated that that Targa may not meet the criteria necessary to maintain its waivers and may be providing jurisdictional transportation to third parties without complying with the ICA and initiated a new proceeding to investigate these issues and set the matters for hearing. On January 17, 2023, Enerplus intervened as having an interest in the proceeding due to the investigation as to whether Targa is providing jurisdictional service. On July 25, 2023, Targa alleged that Enerplus has attempted to introduce new claims and issues that are not part of this case. Targa contended that the two issues set for hearing by the Commission are whether Targa continues to meet the criteria for its waivers of tariff filing and financial reporting, and whether Targa is providing jurisdictional transportation without ICA compliance. Targa asked the Presiding Judge to clarify the issues set for hearing and preclude Enerplus from its assertion that Targa is charging Enerplus fees that are higher than other providers for its gathering services. Targa asserted that the Commission had already denied Enerplus' request for rehearing regarding these claims. Now, Commission Trial Staff responded to the request for clarification, taking no position. Trial Staff did state that it does not necessarily believe that an investigation into whether Targa is charging rates that are higher or that it may be in violation of the ICA is necessary but "does recognize that every relevant issue does not need to be explicitly set for hearing." Trial Staff also stated that charging producers different rates may indeed be a violation of the ICA. Trial Staff submitted that it does believe that, no matter the outcome, resolving the scope of the hearing now would " provide judicial economy, administrative efficiency, and aid in avoiding

disruption later in this proceeding." **(OR23-2-000,**
Limited Answer of Commission Trial Staff regarding Targa
Badlands LLC Motion to Clarify the Scope of Issues Set for
Hearing filed August 9, 2023

New Tariff Filings (IS Dockets) August 5, 2023—August 18, 2023

| Docket No. | Applicant | Filed Date | Effective Date | Tariff No. | Summary |
|---------------------|--|-----------------|----------------|-------------------------------------|---|
| <u>IS23-558-001</u> | <u>Seminole Pipeline Company LLC</u> | <u>08/17/23</u> | Effective Date | Tariff No. | Indexed rate adjustments were refiled to correct the date in the metadata. No tariff was needed to be filed. |
| <u>IS23-658</u> | <u>Magellan Pipeline Company, L.P.</u> | <u>08/16/23</u> | 09/16/23 | 158.33.1 cancels 158.33.0 | The rules and regulations were revised to add new products ARM – Grade and VRM – Grade with references to the product grade documents and eliminates the product VMS – Grade. |
| <u>IS23-650-001</u> | <u>Explorer Pipeline Company</u> | <u>08/15/23</u> | 09/01/23 | 100.11301.0 cancels 100.113.0 | The table of bid rates was updated for September, 2023. |
| <u>IS23-657</u> | <u>Kinder Morgan Utopia LLC</u> | <u>08/11/23</u> | 09/01/23 | 7.2.0 cancels 7.1.0 | Adjust the International Joint Volume Incentive Rate Tariff to reduce the minimum number of barrels that must be shipped each day for a shipper to be eligible for the Joint Volume Incentive Rate to meet market conditions. |
| <u>IS23-656</u> | <u>Sunoco Pipeline L.P.</u> | <u>08/09/23</u> | 09/09/23 | 205.17.0 cancels 205.16.0 | Increase the maximum daily capacity of the service from Darlington, Bulger South, and Bulger North, PA to Houston, PA from 7,500 to 10,000 barrels per day of capacity and may be achieved by Carrier through displacement of barrels or other virtual movements. |
| <u>IS23-655</u> | <u>Wildrose Pipeline Company LLC</u> | <u>08/08/23</u> | 08/09/23 | 7.2.0; 8.7.0 | Wildrose Pipeline Company LLC, a wholly owned subsidiary of Pivotal Energy Partners USA, Inc., adopted the tariffs of Hawthorn Oil Transportation (North Dakota), Inc. reflect the sale of the pipeline facilities of Hawthorn to Wildrose, effective August 4, 2023. |

Updated Action on Tariff Filings (IS Dockets) July 6, 2023—August 4, 2023

| Docket No. | Applicant | Filed Date | Effective Date | Tariff No. | Summary | Amendment/ Protest | Commission Action |
|-----------------|--|-----------------|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|-----------------------|----------------------|
| <u>IS23-654</u> | <u>Nutaaq Pipeline LLC</u> | <u>08/03/23</u> | 09/01/23 | 3.15.0 cancels 3.14.0 | Rates are increased under terms of Badami Settlement Agreement. | | |
| <u>IS23-653</u> | <u>Centurion Pipeline L.P.</u> | <u>08/03/23</u> | 09/01/23 | 45.23.0 cancels 45.22.0 | Change the destination name from Sunoco to ETP Crude Granite Wash Extension Interconnect, OK and decrease rate. Establish an initial crude rate from Cushing, OK to Childress, Texas at 110.00 cpb along with an incentive rate of 35 cpb for shippers signing a T&D .The initial rate was agreed to by at least one non-affiliated shipper. | | |
| <u>IS23-652</u> | <u>Magellan Pipeline Company, L.P.</u> | <u>08/01/23</u> | 09/01/23 | 201 | Establish a new joint tariff with P66 Carrier, LLC. This joint tariff establishes joint service from P66's Billings, MT to destinations on the MPL pipeline system in Cheyenne, WY, Commerce City, CO, Denver, CO, Dupont, CO and Fountain, CO. | | |
| <u>IS23-651</u> | <u>Enterprise TE Products Pipeline Company LLC</u> | <u>08/01/23</u> | 10/01/23 | 55.77.0 cancels 55.76.0 | Modify the terms and conditions in Item No. 340 to allow for the ongoing contracting of 44,000 barrels per day of priority service capacity on the same terms, including the rate structure, approved by the Commission. | | |
| <u>IS23-650</u> | <u>Explorer Pipeline Company</u> | <u>08/01/23</u> | 09/01/23 | 100.113.0 cancels 100.11201.0 | Establish a new volume incentive program and incentive rate for petroleum products to North Houston (Harris County), TX. | | |
| <u>IS23-649</u> | <u>Hardin Street Holdings LLC</u> | <u>08/01/23</u> | 09/01/23 | 2.12.0 cancels 2.11.0 | The crude rules and regulations were revised to include the transportation of partially refined products. | | |
| <u>IS23-648</u> | <u>Marathon Pipe Line LLC</u> | <u>08/01/23</u> | 09/01/23 | 316.17.0 cancels 316.16.0 | The crude rules and regulations were revised to include transportation of partially refined products. | | |
| <u>IS23-647</u> | <u>Sacagawea Pipeline Company, LLC</u> | <u>07/31/23</u> | 09/01/23 | 2.16.0 cancels 2.15.0 | Establish an acreage dedication commitment discount for selected origin/destination pairs for shippers signing a T&D agreement. | | |
| <u>IS23-646</u> | <u>Chicap Pipe Line Company</u> | <u>07/31/23</u> | 08/31/23 | 74.34.0 cancels 74.33.0 | The priority service rate from Blue Island, IL to Mokena, IL has been removed due to the expiration of the underlying throughput and deficiency agreement has expired. Certain timing requirements were revised related to line fill and tank bottom inventory to create additional flexibility for shippers. | | |

Updated Action on Tariff Filings (IS Dockets) July 6, 2023—August 4, 2023

| Docket No. | Applicant | Filed Date | Effective Date | Tariff No. | Summary | Amendment/ Protest | Commission Action |
|---------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|----------------|---------------------------------|---|-----------------------|----------------------|
| <u>IS23-645</u> | <u>Shell Pipeline Company LP</u> | <u>07/31/23</u> | 09/01/23 | 130.25.0 cancels 130.24.0 | Contract rates associated with route 06 from Clovelly, LA to Norco, LA were increased. | | |
| <u>IS23-644</u> | <u>TransCanada Keystone Pipeline, LP</u> | <u>07/28/23</u> | 09/01/23 | 6.90.0 cancels 6.89.0 | The temporary discounted rates were extended through September 30, 2023. | | |
| <u>IS23-643</u> | <u>Marketlink, LLC</u> | <u>07/28/23</u> | 08/01/23 | 3.16.0 cancels 3.15.0 | Increase and extend temporary volume incentive rate through August 31, 2023. | | |
| <u>IS23-642</u> | <u>Tallgrass Pony Express Pipeline, LLC</u> | <u>07/28/23</u> | 09/01/23 | 2.55.0 cancels 2.54.0 | Extend the volume incentive program for Platteville, Weld County, CO to Various Cushing Destinations expiration date from August 31, 2023 to October 31, 2023. | | |
| <u>IS23-641</u> | <u>Enterprise Lou-Tex NGL Pipeline L.P.</u> | <u>07/27/23</u> | 08/01/23 | 14.0.0 | Establish a new movement, associated general commodity rate and applicable rules and regulations for the transportation of purity ethane from Mont Belvieu Caverns in Chambers County, TX to Sasol East Lake Charles in Calcasieu Parish, LA along with an incentive rate. The rates were agreed to by at least one non-affiliated shipper. | | |
| <u>IS23-640</u> | <u>Hiland Crude, LLC</u> | <u>07/27/23</u> | 08/01/23 | 5.35.0 cancels 5.34.0 | Revise the definition of the White Earth South origin area to include new Range 92W, Section 26 to the existing Township 153N location. | | |
| <u>IS23-639</u> | <u>Seaway Crude Pipeline Company LLC</u> | <u>07/27/23</u> | 09/01/23 | 2.76.0 cancels 2.75.0 | The temporary volume incentive rates were extended through August 31, 2023. | | |
| <u>IS23-638</u> | <u>Arrowhead Offshore Pipeline, LLC</u> | <u>07/27/23</u> | 09/01/23 | 1.19.0 cancels 1.18.0 | Cancel rates for movements no longer in interstate transportation. Future rates and routing can be found on LSPC 1.1. | | |
| <u>IS23-637</u> | <u>Arrowhead Louisiana Gathering LLC</u> | <u>07/27/23</u> | 09/01/23 | 1.21.0 cancels 1.20.0 | Cancel rates for movements no longer in interstate transportation. Future rates and routing can be found on LSPC 3.1. | | |
| <u>IS23-636</u> | <u>Arrowhead Gulf Coast Pipeline, LLC</u> | <u>07/27/23</u> | 09/01/23 | 5.5.0 cancels 5.4.0 | Cancel the rates from VP Pipeline Interconnect at Venice, Plaquemines Parish, LA to the Interconnection with BOA Pipeline as it is no longer in interstate service. Future rates and routing can be found on LSPC 5.1. | | |
| <u>DO23-15</u> | <u>Plains Pipeline MidCon LLC</u> | <u>07/26/23</u> | | | Depreciation study. | | |
| <u>IS23-635</u> | <u>Marketlink, LLC</u> | <u>07/25/23</u> | 09/01/23 | 2.64.0 cancels 2.63.0 | Extend temporary volume incentive rate through September 20, 2023. | | |

Updated Action on Tariff Filings (IS Dockets) July 6, 2023—August 4, 2023

| Docket No. | Applicant | Filed Date | Effective Date | Tariff No. | Summary | Amendment/ Protest | Commission Action |
|-----------------|--|-----------------|----------------|---|---|-----------------------|----------------------|
| <u>IS23-634</u> | <u>Tallgrass Pony Express Pipeline, LLC</u> | <u>07/18/23</u> | 08/01/23 | 2.54.0 cancels 2.53.0 | The rate filed for the May 2023 contract volume incentive rate for movements between Guernsey (Platte County, WY) and Various Cushing Destinations (Payne County, OK) was filed incorrectly and is being corrected. | | |
| <u>IS23-633</u> | <u>Enercoast Midstream LLC</u> | <u>07/18/23</u> | 08/01/23 | 2.5.0 cancels 2.4.0 | Establish a new incentive rate for movements from EMPCo Webster Station (Harris County) to Choate Road Jct. (Harris County) that will be available to any shipper that meets the stated volume threshold of volumes in excess of 48,000 bpd on a ship-or-pay basis. | | |
| <u>IS23-632</u> | <u>Marketlink, LLC</u> | <u>07/17/23</u> | 08/17/23 | 3.15.0 cancels 3.14.0 | Establish a new committed rate from Marketlink's origin point in Cushing, Oklahoma to its destination points of Houston and Port Arthur, TX. | | |
| <u>IS23-631</u> | <u>Suncor Energy (U.S.A.) Pipeline Company</u> | <u>07/17/23</u> | 08/17/23 | 29.16.0 cancels 29.15.0; 31.16.0 cancels 31.15.0; 30.16.0 cancels 30.15.0 | Indexed rate adjustments. | | |
| <u>IS23-630</u> | <u>Western Refining Pipeline, LLC</u> | <u>07/17/23</u> | 07/24/23 | 2.26.0 cancels 2.25.0 | An additional origin from Nageezi, NM was added. This filing does not contain any new rates or changes to existing rates | | |
| <u>IS23-629</u> | <u>Victoria Express Pipeline, L.L.C.</u> | <u>07/14/23</u> | 08/01/23 | 1.9.3 cancels 1.9.2; 2.9.3 cancels 2.9.2 | The rates were increased by 10% from \$0.5611 to \$0.6172. The rules and regulations were revised to the Carrier Loss Allowance to be calculated on the Gross Standard Volume tendered by Shipper, no longer Net Standard Volume. | | |
| <u>IS23-628</u> | <u>BP Midstream Partners LP</u> | <u>07/14/23</u> | 07/01/23 | 1.3.0 cancels 1.2.0; 2.9.0 cancels 2.8.0; 3.10.0 cancels 3.9.0; 4.13.0 cancels 4.12.0 | Tariffs were canceled to reflect the transfer of certain assets to BP Midwest, effective July 1, 2023. | | |
| <u>IS23-627</u> | <u>BP Midwest Product Pipelines Holdings LLC</u> | <u>07/14/23</u> | 07/01/23 | 10.0.0; 11.0.0; 12.0.0; 13.0.0 | BP Midwest is adopting the tariff publications of BP Midstream to reflect the transfer of certain assets from BP Midstream to BP Midwest, effective July 1, 2023. | | |

Updated Action on Tariff Filings (IS Dockets) July 6, 2023—August 4, 2023

| Docket No. | Applicant | Filed Date | Effective Date | Tariff No. | Summary | Amendment/ Protest | Commission Action |
|-----------------|--|-----------------|----------------|---------------------------------|---|-----------------------|----------------------|
| <u>IS23-626</u> | <u>Mid-America Pipeline Company, LLC</u> | <u>07/12/23</u> | 09/01/23 | 80.51.0 cancels 80.50.0 | The allocation penalty is being increased based upon the weighted average tariff rates in effect for the prior year on the Northern System. | | |
| <u>IS23-625</u> | <u>Shell Pipeline Company LP</u> | <u>07/12/23</u> | 08/01/23 | 156.14.0 cancels 156.13.0 | A new volume incentive rate was established for annual volumes of 200,000 MBPD. | | |
| <u>IS23-624</u> | <u>Centurion Pipeline L.P.</u> | <u>07/11/23</u> | 07/11/23 | 44.68.0 cancels 44.67.0 | Four incentive rates were decreased. | | |

About the Oil Pipeline Tariff Monitor

The Oil Pipeline Tariff Monitor is published every two weeks by Arbo, fka LawIQ, Inc. For any questions regarding the OPTM, please contact Corey Brill via email at corey@goarbo.com or phone at 202-505-5296.

If you are viewing this on your computer and you are connected to the internet, you can take advantage of our extensive links. In the Tariff Filing Tables, the pipeline name is linked to pipeline company websites that have tariffs or other shipper information. Other links in the Tables will take you to [eLibrary](#) (FERC's records information system). Entries in the Docket No. column are linked to the Docket Sheet (filings and issuances in that proceeding). Entries in the Filed Date column are linked to the original tariff filing. Filings that are either withdrawn or have been rejected by the Commission are shaded in light grey. Click on anything else that is underlined and you will be linked to information from other sources. Our Tariff Filings tables reflect information available on [eLibrary](#) through noon on our publication date.

Links to Additional Information for Subscribers:

Our website now has links to copies of FERC Form No. 6 going back to 1990. You can also retrieve tables of index rate adjustment filings by indexing year beginning with the 1998 index year (7/1/1998-6/30/1999). The index rate filing tables may be downloaded to your desktop for your use.

[FERC Form No. 6 Subscriber Resources](#)
[Index Rate Adjustment Filings Subscriber Resources](#)

Please note that this information is accessible only to OPTM subscribers and other clients. To log in, you must enter your personal user name and password. If you forget your password, you can retrieve it from the website

For more information on how to use the OPTM as a research tool, as well as for access to additional databases, see our [subscribers web page](#). For additional information on this or our other services, please call 202-505-5296.

The Oil Pipeline Tariff Monitor is published every two weeks. The subscription includes access to subscriber-only information on our website plus *OPTM Updates*, which report on actions taken at the monthly Commission meetings regarding oil pipelines.

Reminder: Our subscription fee is charged per user and you are prohibited from making any secondary distribution of our information without our permission. This includes forwarding e-mail publications to unauthorized users, as well as printing additional copies and distributing them.

This communication has been prepared by Arbo (fka LawIQ, Inc.) The information contained in this communication has been obtained from publicly available data, but we do not represent or warrant that it is accurate or complete. This communication is for informational purposes only and not for the purpose of providing legal advice. Your receipt or use of this communication is not provided in the course of and does not create or constitute an attorney-client relationship. LawIQ, Inc., its affiliates, and their respective officers, directors, partners, and employees do not accept any liability for any direct or consequential loss arising from any use of this communication or its contents.

Copyright 2021 Arbo (fka LawIQ, Inc.) All rights reserved.